

House of Representatives

General Assembly

File No. 596

February Session, 2014

Substitute House Bill No. 5586

House of Representatives, April 16, 2014

The Committee on Judiciary reported through REP. FOX, G. of the 146th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the House, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING REVISIONS TO VARIOUS STATUTES CONCERNING THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- Section 1. Section 54-33g of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):
- 3 (a) When any property believed to be possessed, controlled, 4 designed or intended for use or which is or has been used or which
- 5 may be used as a means of committing any criminal offense, or which
- 6 constitutes the proceeds of the commission of any criminal offense,
- 7 except a violation of section 21a-267, 21a-277, 21a-278 or 21a-279, has
- 8 been seized as a result of a lawful arrest or lawful search, which the
- 9 state claims to be a nuisance and desires to have destroyed or disposed
- 10 of in accordance with the provisions of this section, the [judge or court
- 11 issuing the warrant or before whom the arrested person is to be
- 12 arraigned shall, within ten days after such seizure, cause to be left with
- 13 the owner of, and with any person claiming of record a bona fide
- 14 mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien or security interest in, the

property so seized, or at his usual place of abode, if he is known, or, if unknown, at the place where the property was seized, a summons notifying the owner and any such other person claiming such interest and all others whom it may concern to appear before such judge or court, at a place and time named in such notice, which shall be not less than six nor more than twelve days after the service thereof. Such summons may be signed by a clerk of the court or his assistant and service may be made by a local or state police officer. It shall describe such property with reasonable certainty and state when and where and why the same was seized] Chief State's Attorney or a deputy chief state's attorney, state's attorney or assistant or deputy assistant state's attorney may petition the court not later than ninety days after the seizure, in the nature of a proceeding in rem, to order forfeiture of such property. Such proceeding shall be deemed a civil suit in equity, in which the state shall have the burden of proving all material facts by clear and convincing evidence. The court shall identify the owner of such property and any other person as appears to have an interest in such property, and order the state to give notice to such owner and any interested person by certified or registered mail. The court shall promptly, but not less than two weeks after such notice, hold a hearing on the petition.

[(b) If the owner of such property or any person claiming any interest in the same appears, he shall be made a party defendant in such case. Any state's attorney or assistant state's attorney may appear and prosecute such complaint and shall have the burden of proving all material facts by clear and convincing evidence.]

[(c)] (b) If the [judge or] court finds the allegations made in such [complaint] <u>petition</u> to be true and that the property has been possessed, controlled or designed for use, or is or has been or is intended to be used, with intent to violate or in violation of any of the criminal laws of this state, <u>or constitutes the proceeds of a violation of any of the criminal laws of this state</u>, except a violation of section 21a-267, 21a-277, 21a-278 or 21a-279, [he] <u>the court</u> shall render judgment that such property is a nuisance and order the [same] <u>property</u> to be

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

destroyed or disposed of to a charitable or educational institution or to a governmental agency or institution, [provided,] except that if any such property is subject to a bona fide mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien or security interest, such property shall not be so destroyed or disposed of in violation of the rights of the holder of such mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien or security interest.

- (c) (1) When Jany money or valuable prize has been seized upon such warrant and condemned under the provisions of this section, such money or valuable prize shall become the property of the state and when the property is money it shall be deposited in the General Fund, provided any such property, which at the time of such order the condemned property is money, the court shall order that it be distributed as follows: (A) Seventy per cent shall be allocated to the law enforcement agency, including the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection and local police departments, responsible for investigating the criminal violation and seizing the funds, and such funds shall be used by such law enforcement agency for the detection, investigation, apprehension and prosecution of persons for the violation of criminal laws; (B) twenty per cent shall be deposited in the Criminal Injuries Compensation Fund established in section 54-215; and (C) ten per cent shall be allocated to the Division of Criminal Justice for use by the division for the prosecution of persons for the violation of criminal laws.
- (2) When the condemned property is a valuable prize, which is subject to a bona fide mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien or security interest, such property shall remain subject to such mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien or security interest.
- (d) When any property or valuable prize has been declared a nuisance and condemned under this section, the court may also order that such property be sold [by sale at public auction in which case the proceeds shall become the property of the state and shall be deposited in the General Fund; provided, any person who has a bona fide mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien or security interest shall

have the same right to the proceeds as he had in the property prior to sale. Final destruction or disposal of such property shall not be made until any criminal trial in which such property might be used as evidence has been completed] in accordance with procedures approved by the Commissioner of Administrative Services. Proceeds of such sale shall first be allocated toward the balance of any mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien or security interest, and the remaining proceeds of such sale, if any, shall be allocated in accordance with subparagraphs (A) to (C), inclusive, of subdivision (1) of subsection (c) of this section. In any criminal prosecution, secondary evidence of property condemned and destroyed pursuant to this section shall be admissible against the defendant to the same extent as such evidence would have been admissible had the property not been condemned and destroyed.

- [(d)] (e) If the [judge or] court finds the allegations not to be true, or that the property has not been kept with intent to violate or in violation of the criminal laws of this state, or that the property does not constitute the proceeds of a violation of the criminal laws of this state, or that [it] the property is the property of a person who is not a defendant, [he] the court shall order the property returned to the owner forthwith and the party in possession of such property pending such determination shall be responsible and personally liable for such property from the time of seizure and shall immediately comply with such order.
- [(e)] (f) Failure of the state to proceed against such property in accordance with the provisions of this section shall not prevent the use of such property as evidence in any criminal trial.
- Sec. 2. Subsection (a) of section 54-36p of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):
- 112 (a) The following property shall be subject to forfeiture to the state 113 pursuant to subsection (b) of this section:

114 (1) All moneys used, or intended for use, in a violation of 115 subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of section 53-21 or section 53a-82, 53a-116 86, 53a-87, 53a-88, 53a-90a, 53a-189a, 53a-189b, 53a-192a, 53a-196a, 53a-117 196b, 53a-196c or 53a-196i;

- 118 (2) All property constituting the proceeds obtained, directly or 119 indirectly, from a violation of subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of 120 section 53-21 or section 53a-82, 53a-86, 53a-87, 53a-88, 53a-90a, 53a-121 189a, 53a-189b, 53a-192a, 53a-196a, 53a-196b, 53a-196c or 53a-196i;
- (3) All property derived from the proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, [from any sale or exchange for pecuniary gain] from a violation of subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of section 53-21 or section 53a-82, 53a-86, 53a-87, 53a-88, 53a-90a, 53a-189a, 53a-189b, 53a-192a, 53a-196a, 53a-196b, 53a-196c or 53a-196i;
- (4) All property used or intended for use, in any manner or part, to commit or facilitate the commission of a violation [for pecuniary gain] of subdivision (3) of subsection (a) of section 53-21 or section 53a-82, 53a-86, 53a-87, 53a-88, 53a-90a, 53a-189a, 53a-189b, 53a-192a, 53a-196a, 53a-196b, 53a-196c or 53a-196i.
- Sec. 3. Section 54-63c of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):
- 134 (a) Except in cases of arrest pursuant to a bench warrant of arrest in 135 which the court or a judge thereof has indicated that bail should be denied or ordered that the officer or indifferent person making such 136 137 arrest shall, without undue delay, bring such person before the clerk or 138 assistant clerk of the superior court for the geographical area under 139 section 54-2a, when any person is arrested for a bailable offense, the 140 chief of police, or the chief's authorized designee, of the police 141 department having custody of the arrested person or any probation 142 officer serving a violation of probation warrant shall promptly advise 143 such person of the person's rights under section 54-1b, and of the 144 person's right to be interviewed concerning the terms and conditions 145 of release. Unless the arrested person waives or refuses such interview,

the police officer or probation officer shall promptly interview the arrested person to obtain information relevant to the terms and conditions of the person's release from custody, and shall seek independent verification of such information where necessary. At the request of the arrested person, the person's counsel may be present during the interview. No statement made by the arrested person in response to any question during the interview related to the terms and conditions of release shall be admissible as evidence against the arrested person in any proceeding arising from the incident for which the conditions of release were set. After such a waiver, refusal or interview, the police officer or probation officer shall promptly order release of the arrested person upon the execution of a written promise to appear or the posting of such bond as may be set by the police officer or probation officer, except that no condition of release set by the court or a judge thereof may be modified by such [officer] officers and no person shall be released upon the execution of a written promise to appear or the posting of a bond without surety if the person is charged with the commission of a family violence crime, as defined in section 46b-38a, and in the commission of such crime the person used or threatened the use of a firearm.

(b) If the person is charged with the commission of a family violence crime, as defined in section 46b-38a, and the police officer does not intend to impose nonfinancial conditions of release pursuant to this subsection, the police officer shall, pursuant to the procedure set forth in subsection (a) of this section, promptly order the release of such person upon the execution of a written promise to appear or the posting of such bond as may be set by the police officer. If such person is not so released, the police officer shall make reasonable efforts to immediately contact a bail commissioner or an intake, assessment and referral specialist employed by the Judicial Branch to set the conditions of such person's release pursuant to section 54-63d. If, after making such reasonable efforts, the police officer is unable to contact a bail commissioner or an intake, assessment and referral specialist or contacts a bail commissioner or an intake, assessment and referral specialist but such bail commissioner or intake, assessment and

146147

148

149150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

referral specialist is unavailable to promptly perform such bail commissioner's or intake, assessment and referral specialist's duties pursuant to section 54-63d, the police officer shall, pursuant to the procedure set forth in subsection (a) of this section, order the release of such person upon the execution of a written promise to appear or the posting of such bond as may be set by the police officer and may impose nonfinancial conditions of release which may require that the arrested person do one or more of the following: (1) Avoid all contact with the alleged victim of the crime, (2) comply with specified restrictions on the person's travel, association or place of abode that are directly related to the protection of the alleged victim of the crime, or (3) not use or possess a dangerous weapon, intoxicant or controlled substance. Any such nonfinancial conditions of release shall be indicated on a form prescribed by the Judicial Branch and sworn to by the police officer. Such form shall articulate (A) the efforts that were made to contact a bail commissioner or an intake, assessment and referral specialist, (B) the specific factual basis relied upon by the police officer to impose the nonfinancial conditions of release, and (C) if the arrested person was non-English-speaking, that the services of a translation service or interpreter were used. A copy of that portion of the form that indicates the nonfinancial conditions of release shall immediately be provided to the arrested person. A copy of the entire form shall be provided to counsel for the arrested person at arraignment. Any nonfinancial conditions of release imposed pursuant to this subsection shall remain in effect until the arrested person is presented before the Superior Court pursuant to subsection (a) of section 54-1g. On such date, the court shall conduct a hearing pursuant to section 46b-38c at which the defendant is entitled to be heard with respect to the issuance of a protective order.

(c) When cash bail in excess of ten thousand dollars is received for a detained person accused of a felony, where the underlying facts and circumstances of the felony involve the use, attempted use or threatened use of physical force against another person, the police officer shall prepare a report that contains (1) the name, address and taxpayer identification number of the accused person, (2) the name,

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

address and taxpayer identification number of each person offering the cash bail, other than a person licensed as a professional bondsman

- 218 under chapter 533 or a surety bail bond agent under chapter 700f, (3)
- 219 the amount of cash received, and (4) the date the cash was received.
- 220 Not later than fifteen days after receipt of such cash bail, the police
- 221 officer shall file the report with the Department of Revenue Services
- and mail a copy of the report to the state's attorney for the judicial
- 223 district in which the alleged offense was committed and to each person
- offering the cash bail.
- 225 (d) No police officer or probation officer serving a violation of
- 226 <u>probation warrant</u> shall set the terms and conditions of a person's
- release, set a bond for a person or release a person from custody under
- 228 this section unless the police officer or probation officer has first
- 229 checked the National Crime Information Center (NCIC) computerized
- 230 index of criminal justice information to determine if such person is
- 231 listed in such index.
- 232 (e) If the arrested person has not posted bail, the police officer or
- 233 probation officer serving a violation of probation warrant shall
- 234 immediately notify a bail commissioner or an intake, assessment and
- 235 referral specialist.
- 236 (f) The chief, acting chief, superintendent of police, the
- 237 Commissioner of Emergency Services and Public Protection, any
- 238 captain or lieutenant of any local police department or the Division of
- 239 State Police within the Department of Emergency Services and Public
- 240 Protection or any person lawfully exercising the powers of any such
- officer may take a written promise to appear or a bond with or without
- 242 surety from an arrested person as provided in subsection (a) of this
- 243 section, or as fixed by the court or any judge thereof, may administer
- 244 such oaths as are necessary in the taking of promises or bonds and
- shall file any report required under subsection (c) of this section.
- Sec. 4. Subsections (a) and (b) of section 53a-182b of the general
- 247 statutes are repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof
- 248 (*Effective October 1, 2014*):

(a) A person is guilty of harassment in the first degree when, with the intent to harass, annoy, alarm or terrorize another person, he threatens to kill or physically injure that person or any other person, and communicates such threat by telephone, or by telegraph, mail, computer network, as defined in section 53a-250, or any other form of written communication, in a manner likely to cause annoyance or alarm and has been convicted of a capital felony under the provisions of section 53a-54b in effect prior to April 25, 2012, a class A felony, a class B felony, except a conviction under section 53a-86 or 53a-122, a class C felony, except a conviction under section 53a-87, 53a-152 or 53a-153, or a class D felony under sections 53a-60 to 53a-60c, inclusive, 53a-72a, 53a-72b, 53a-95, 53a-103, 53a-103a, 53a-114, 53a-136 or 53a-216. For the purposes of this section, "convicted" means having a judgment of conviction entered by a court of competent jurisdiction.

- (b) For <u>the</u> purposes of this section, such offense may be deemed to have been committed either at the place where the [telephone call was made or] <u>communication originated or at the place</u> where it was received.
- Sec. 5. Subsections (b) and (c) of section 14-227a of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes are repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):
 - (b) Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, in any criminal prosecution for violation of subsection (a) of this section, evidence [respecting] concerning the amount of alcohol or drug in the defendant's blood or urine at the time of the alleged offense, as shown by a chemical analysis of the defendant's breath, blood or urine shall be admissible and competent, provided: (1) The defendant was afforded a reasonable opportunity to telephone an attorney prior to the performance of the test and consented to the taking of the test upon which such analysis is made; (2) a true copy of the report of the test result was mailed to or personally delivered to the defendant within twenty-four hours or by the end of the next regular business day, after such result was known, whichever is later; (3) the test was performed

by or at the direction of a police officer according to methods and with equipment approved by the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection and was performed in accordance with the regulations adopted under subsection (d) of this section; (4) the device used for such test was checked for accuracy in accordance with the regulations adopted under subsection (d) of this section; (5) an additional chemical test of the same type was performed at least ten minutes after the initial test was performed or, if requested by the police officer for reasonable cause, an additional chemical test of a different type was performed to detect the presence of a drug or drugs other than or in addition to alcohol, provided the results of the initial test shall not be inadmissible under this subsection if reasonable efforts were made to have such additional test performed in accordance with the conditions set forth in this subsection and such additional test was not performed or was not performed within a reasonable time, or the results of such additional test are not admissible for failure to meet a condition set forth in this subsection; and (6) evidence is presented that the test was commenced within two hours of operation or, if the test was not commenced within two hours of operation, evidence is presented that demonstrates that the test results and analysis thereof accurately indicate the blood alcohol content at the time of the alleged offense. In any prosecution under this section it shall be a rebuttable presumption that the results of such chemical analysis establish the ratio of alcohol in the blood of the defendant at the time of the alleged offense, except that if the results of the additional test indicate that the ratio of alcohol in the blood of such defendant is ten-hundredths of one per cent or less of alcohol, by weight, and is higher than the results of the first test, evidence shall be presented that demonstrates that the test results and the analysis thereof accurately indicate the blood alcohol content at the time of the alleged offense.

(c) In any prosecution for a violation of subdivision (1) of subsection (a) of this section, reliable evidence [respecting] concerning the amount of alcohol in the defendant's blood or urine at the time of the alleged offense, as shown by a chemical analysis of the defendant's blood, breath or urine, otherwise admissible under subsection (b) of this

282283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

section, shall be admissible only at the request of the defendant.

Sec. 6. Subsection (c) of section 14-227b of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective* 320 October 1, 2014):

(c) If the person arrested refuses to submit to such test or analysis or submits to such test or analysis [, commenced within two hours of the time of operation, and the results of such test or analysis indicate that such person has an elevated blood alcohol content, the police officer, acting on behalf of the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles, shall immediately revoke and take possession of the motor vehicle operator's license or, if such person is a nonresident, suspend the nonresident operating privilege of such person, for a twenty-four-hour period. The police officer shall prepare a report of the incident and shall mail or otherwise transmit in accordance with this subsection the report and a copy of the results of any chemical test or analysis to the Department of Motor Vehicles within three business days. The report shall contain such information as prescribed by the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles and shall be subscribed and sworn to under penalty of false statement as provided in section 53a-157b by the arresting officer. If the person arrested refused to submit to such test or analysis, the report shall be endorsed by a third person who witnessed such refusal. The report shall set forth the grounds for the officer's belief that there was probable cause to arrest such person for a violation of subsection (a) of section 14-227a and shall state that such person had refused to submit to such test or analysis when requested by such police officer to do so or that such person submitted to such test or analysis [, commenced within two hours of the time of operation, and the results of such test or analysis indicated that such person had an elevated blood alcohol content. The Commissioner of Motor Vehicles may accept a police report under this subsection that is prepared and transmitted as an electronic record, including electronic signature or signatures, subject to such security procedures as the commissioner may specify and in accordance with the provisions of sections 1-266 to 1-286, inclusive. In any hearing conducted pursuant to the provisions

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

of subsection (g) of this section, it shall not be a ground for objection to the admissibility of a police report that it is an electronic record prepared by electronic means.

- Sec. 7. Subsection (g) of section 14-227b of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):
- 357 (g) If such person contacts the department to schedule a hearing, the 358 department shall assign a date, time and place for the hearing, which 359 date shall be prior to the effective date of the suspension, except that, 360 with respect to a person whose operator's license or nonresident 361 operating privilege is suspended in accordance with subdivision (2) of 362 subsection (e) of this section, such hearing shall be scheduled not later 363 than thirty days after such person contacts the department. At the 364 request of such person or the hearing officer and upon a showing of 365 good cause, the commissioner may grant one or more continuances. 366 The hearing shall be limited to a determination of the following issues: 367 (1) Did the police officer have probable cause to arrest the person for operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating 368 369 liquor or any drug or both; (2) was such person placed under arrest; (3) 370 did such person refuse to submit to such test or analysis or did such 371 person submit to such test or analysis [, commenced within two hours 372 of the time of operation,] and the results of such test or analysis 373 indicated that such person had an elevated blood alcohol content; and 374 (4) was such person operating the motor vehicle. In the hearing, the 375 results of the test or analysis shall be sufficient to indicate the ratio of 376 alcohol in the blood of such person at the time of operation, [provided] 377 except that, if such test was not commenced within two hours of the 378 time of operation, evidence shall be presented that demonstrates that 379 the test results and analysis thereof accurately indicate the blood 380 alcohol content at the time of operation. The fees of any witness 381 summoned to appear at the hearing shall be the same as provided by 382 the general statutes for witnesses in criminal cases. Notwithstanding 383 the provisions of subsection (a) of section 52-143, any subpoena 384 summoning a police officer as a witness shall be served not less than

354 355

seventy-two hours prior to the designated time of the hearing.

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409 410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

Sec. 8. Subsection (a) of section 15-140r of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):

(a) Except as provided in section 15-140s, as amended by this act, or subsection (d) of this section, in any criminal prosecution for the violation of section 15-132a, subsection (d) of section 15-133, section 15-140l or 15-140n or subsection (b) of section 53-206d, evidence [respecting] concerning the amount of alcohol or drug in the defendant's blood or urine at the time of the alleged offense, as shown by a chemical analysis of the defendant's breath, blood or urine shall be admissible and competent, provided: (1) The defendant was afforded a reasonable opportunity to telephone an attorney prior to the performance of the test and consented to the taking of the test upon which such analysis is made; (2) a true copy of the report of the test result was mailed to or personally delivered to the defendant within twenty-four hours or by the end of the next regular business day, after such result was known, whichever is later; (3) the test was performed by or at the direction of a certified law enforcement officer according to methods and with equipment approved by the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection, and if a blood test was performed, it was performed on a blood sample taken by a person licensed to practice medicine and surgery in this state, a qualified laboratory technician, an emergency medical technician II or a registered nurse in accordance with the regulations adopted under subsection (b) of this section; (4) the device used for such test was checked for accuracy in accordance with the regulations adopted under subsection (b) of this section; (5) an additional chemical test of the same type was performed at least ten minutes after the initial test was performed or, if requested by the peace officer for reasonable cause, an additional chemical test of a different type was performed to detect the presence of a drug or drugs other than or in addition to alcohol, except that the results of the initial test shall not be inadmissible under this subsection if reasonable efforts were made to

have such additional test performed in accordance with the conditions set forth in this subsection and such additional test was not performed or was not performed within a reasonable time, or the results of such additional test are not admissible for failure to meet a condition set forth in this subsection; and (6) evidence is presented that the test was commenced within two hours of operation of the vessel or, [expert testimony establishes the reliability of a test commenced beyond two hours of operation of the vessel] if the test was not commenced within two hours of operation of the vessel, evidence is presented that demonstrates that the test results and analysis thereof accurately indicate the blood alcohol content at the time of the alleged offense. In any prosecution under this section, it shall be a rebuttable presumption that the results of such chemical analysis establish the ratio of alcohol in the blood of the defendant at the time of the alleged offense, except that if the results of the additional test indicate that the ratio of alcohol in the blood of such defendant is ten-hundredths of one per cent or less of alcohol, by weight, and is higher than the results of the first test, evidence shall be presented that demonstrates that the test results and the analysis thereof accurately indicate the blood alcohol content at the time of the alleged offense.

Sec. 9. Subsection (k) of section 14-227a of the 2014 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):

(k) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (b) of this section, evidence [respecting] concerning the amount of alcohol or drug in the blood or urine of an operator of a motor vehicle involved in an accident who has suffered or allegedly suffered physical injury in such accident, which evidence is derived from a chemical analysis of a blood sample taken from or a urine sample provided by such person after such accident at the scene of the accident, while en route to a hospital or at a hospital, shall be competent evidence to establish probable cause for the arrest by warrant of such person for a violation of subsection (a) of this section and shall be admissible and competent in any subsequent prosecution thereof if: (1) The blood sample was

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

taken or the urine sample was provided for the diagnosis and treatment of such injury; (2) if a blood sample was taken, the blood sample was taken in accordance with the regulations adopted under subsection (d) of this section; (3) a police officer has demonstrated to the satisfaction of a judge of the Superior Court that such officer has reason to believe that such person was operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or drug or both and that the chemical analysis of such blood or urine sample constitutes evidence of the commission of the offense of operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or drug or both in violation of subsection (a) of this section; and (4) such judge has issued a search warrant in accordance with section 54-33a authorizing the seizure of the chemical analysis of such blood or urine sample. Such search warrant may also authorize the seizure of the medical records prepared by the hospital in connection with the diagnosis or treatment of such injury.

Sec. 10. Section 15-140s of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):

Evidence [respecting] concerning the amount of alcohol or drug in the blood or urine of an operator of a vessel involved in an accident who has suffered or allegedly suffered physical injury in such accident, which evidence is derived from a chemical analysis of a blood or urine sample taken from such person at the scene of the accident, while en route to a hospital or at a hospital after such accident, shall be competent evidence to establish probable cause for the arrest by warrant of such person for a violation of section 15-132a, 15-133, 15-140l, or 15-140n and shall be admissible and competent in any subsequent prosecution thereof if: (1) The blood or urine sample was taken in the regular course of business of the hospital for the diagnosis and treatment of such injury; (2) the blood sample was taken by a person licensed to practice medicine in this state, a qualified laboratory technician, an emergency technician II or a registered nurse; (3) a police officer has demonstrated to the satisfaction of a judge of the Superior Court that such officer has reason to believe that such person

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

487 was operating a vessel while under the influence of intoxicating liquor 488 or drug, or both, and that the chemical analysis of such blood or urine 489 sample constitutes evidence of the commission of the offense of 490 operating a vessel upon the waters of this state while under the 491 influence of intoxicating liquor or drug, or both, in violation of section 492 15-132a, 15-133, 15-140l, or 15-140n; and (4) such judge has issued a 493 search warrant in accordance with section 54-33a authorizing the 494 seizure of the chemical analysis of such blood or urine sample.

- Sec. 11. Section 53a-127b of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):
- 497 (a) A person is guilty of fraudulent use of an automated teller 498 machine when, with intent to deprive another of property or to 499 appropriate the same to himself or herself or a third person, such 500 person knowingly uses in a fraudulent manner an automated teller 501 machine for the purpose of obtaining property. For the purposes of 502 this section, "automated teller machine" means an unmanned device at 503 which banking transactions including, without limitation, deposits, 504 withdrawals, advances, payments and transfers may be conducted, 505 and includes, without limitation, a satellite device and point of sale 506 terminal as defined in section 36a-2.
- 507 (b) In any prosecution under this section, the crime shall be deemed 508 to have been committed in the town in which the automated teller 509 machine was located.
- 510 (c) Fraudulent use of an automated teller machine is a class [C] <u>A</u> 511 misdemeanor.
- Sec. 12. Section 53a-128 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2014*):
 - (a) A person is guilty of issuing a bad check when: (1) As a drawer or representative drawer, he issues a check knowing that he or his principal, as the case may be, does not then have sufficient funds with the drawee to cover it, and (A) he intends or believes at the time of

514

515

516

issuance that payment will be refused by the drawee upon presentation, and (B) payment is refused by the drawee upon presentation; or (2) he passes a check knowing that the drawer thereof does not then have sufficient funds with the drawee to cover it, and (A) he intends or believes at the time the check is passed that payment will be refused by the drawee upon presentation, and (B) payment is refused by the drawee upon presentation.

- (b) For the purposes of this section, an issuer is presumed to know that the check or order, other than a postdated check or order, would not be paid, if: (1) The issuer had no account with the drawee at the time the check or order was issued; or (2) payment was refused by the drawee for insufficient funds upon presentation within thirty days after issue and the issuer failed to make good within eight days after receiving notice of such refusal. For the purposes of this subsection, an issuer is presumed to have received notice of such refusal if the drawee or payee provides proof of mailing such notice by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the issuer at his last known address.
- 535 (c) Issuing a bad check is: (1) A class D felony if the amount of the 536 check was more than [one] two thousand dollars; (2) a class A 537 misdemeanor if the amount of the check was more than [five hundred] 538 one thousand dollars but not more than [one] two thousand dollars; (3) 539 a class B misdemeanor if the amount of the check was more than [two 540 hundred fifty] five hundred dollars but not more than [five hundred] 541 one thousand dollars; or (4) a class C misdemeanor if the amount of 542 the check was [two hundred fifty] five hundred dollars or less.
- Sec. 13. Section 2 of public act 11-252, as amended by section 3 of public act 12-111, is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective from passage*):
 - (a) There is established an Eyewitness Identification Task Force to study issues concerning eyewitness identification in criminal investigations and the use of sequential live and photo lineups. The task force shall examine: (1) The science of sequential methods of conducting a live lineup and a photo lineup, (2) the use of sequential

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

546

547

548

549

lineups in other states, (3) the practical implications of a state law mandating sequential lineups, and (4) such other topics as the task force deems appropriate relating to eyewitness identification and the provision of sequential lineups.

- (b) The task force shall consist of the following members or their designees: The chairpersons and ranking members of the joint standing committee of the General Assembly on the judiciary; the Chief State's Attorney; the Chief Public Defender; the Victim Advocate; an active or retired judge appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court; a municipal police chief appointed by the president of the Connecticut Police Chiefs Association; a representative of the Police Officer Standards and Training Council; a representative of the State Police Training School appointed by the Commissioner of Emergency Services and Public Protection; a representative of the criminal defense bar appointed by the president of the Connecticut Criminal Defense Lawyers Association; a representative from the Connecticut Innocence Project; and six public members, including the dean of a law school located in this state and a social scientist, appointed one each by the president pro tempore of the Senate, the speaker of the House of Representatives, the majority leader of the Senate, the majority leader of the House of Representatives, the minority leader of the Senate, and the minority leader of the House of Representatives.
- (c) The task force may solicit and accept gifts, donations, grants or funds from any public or private source to assist the task force in carrying out its duties.
- (d) The task force shall report its findings and recommendations to the joint standing committee of the General Assembly on the judiciary in accordance with section 11-4a of the general statutes not later than April 1, 2012.
 - (e) After submitting the report required under subsection (d) of this section, the task force shall continue in existence for the purpose of (1) assisting the Police Officer Standards and Training Council and the

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

581

582

Division of State Police within the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection in the development of policies and guidelines for the conducting of eyewitness identification procedures by law enforcement agencies as required by subsection (b) of section 54-1p of the general statutes, [as amended by this act,] (2) researching and evaluating best practices in the conducting of eyewitness identification procedures as such practices may change from time to time, and recommending such revised best practices to the Police Officer Standards and Training Council and the Division of State Police within the Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection, (3) collecting statistics concerning the conducting of eyewitness identification procedures by law enforcement agencies, and (4) monitoring the implementation of section 54-1p of the general statutes. [, as amended by this act.] The task force shall report the results of such monitoring, including any recommendations for proposed legislation, to the joint standing committee of the General Assembly on the judiciary in accordance with section 11-4a of the general statutes not later than February 5, 2014.

(f) After submitting the report required under subsection (e) of this section, the task force may continue in existence until June 30, 2016, for the purpose set forth in subdivision (3) of subsection (e) of this section, to collect and assist in the archiving of eyewitness identification procedures used by law enforcement agencies in this state, and to consider best practices in eyewitness identification procedures adopted by law enforcement agencies in other states, provided members of the task force and advisors to the task force shall receive no compensation for their services.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:					
Section 1	October 1, 2014	54-33g			
Sec. 2	October 1, 2014	54-36p(a)			
Sec. 3	October 1, 2014	54-63c			
Sec. 4	October 1, 2014	53a-182b(a) and (b)			
Sec. 5	October 1, 2014	14-227a(b) and (c)			

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

Sec. 6	October 1, 2014	14-227b(c)
Sec. 7	October 1, 2014	14-227b(g)
Sec. 8	October 1, 2014	15-140r(a)
Sec. 9	October 1, 2014	14-227a(k)
Sec. 10	October 1, 2014	15-140s
Sec. 11	October 1, 2014	53a-127b
Sec. 12	October 1, 2014	53a-128
Sec. 13	from passage	PA 11-252, Sec. 2

JUD Joint Favorable Subst.

The following Fiscal Impact Statement and Bill Analysis are prepared for the benefit of the members of the General Assembly, solely for purposes of information, summarization and explanation and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose. In general, fiscal impacts are based upon a variety of informational sources, including the analyst's professional knowledge. Whenever applicable, agency data is consulted as part of the analysis, however final products do not necessarily reflect an assessment from any specific department.

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 15 \$	FY 16 \$
Resources of the General Fund	GF - Potential	See Below	See Below
	Revenue Gain		
Judicial Dept.	CICF - Potential	See Below	Approximately
	Revenue Gain		260,000
Criminal Justice, Div.	GF - Potential	See Below	Approximately
	Revenue Gain		130,000

Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 15 \$	FY 16 \$
Municipal Police Departments	Potential	See Below	Approximately
	Revenue		910,000
	Gain		

Explanation

The bill results in a potential revenue gain to the state and municipalities by altering forfeiture provisions related to money and property seized in connection to specific non-drug crimes. The bill specifies that seized money, which is currently deposited into the General Fund, be distributed as follows: 70% to the law enforcement agency that investigated the crime and seized the funds, for use in the agency's law enforcement activities, 20% to the Criminal Injuries Compensation Fund, and 10% to the Division of Criminal Justice.

Under current law, very few non-drug cases result in asset forfeiture. The bill is anticipated to result in comparable asset forfeiture to drug asset forfeiture cases, which results in approximately \$1.3 million each year. Local law enforcement agencies would receive approximately \$910,000, the Criminal Injuries Compensation Fund

would receive approximately \$260,000, and the Division of Criminal Justice would receive approximately \$130,000. Minimal revenue is anticipated in FY 15 as few cases are expected to be completed in FY 15. On average each case results in approximately \$450 of assets.

In addition, the bill expands the type of property that can be seized and forfeited related to sexual exploitation and human trafficking crimes. Current law requires that seized property must be connected to pecuniary gain. The bill no longer requires these actions to be connected to pecuniary gain.

The bill increases the penalty for fraudulent use of an ATM. In FY 13 there were no convictions and no fines collected for this offense.

The bill alters the penalties for issuing a bad check, increasing the range of the bad check and in most cases, reducing the penalty. In FY 13, there were 13 convictions for this offense, resulting in approximately \$3,700 in fines.

The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation.

Sources: Judicial Department Offenses and Revenue Database

OLR Bill Analysis sHB 5586

AN ACT CONCERNING REVISIONS TO VARIOUS STATUTES CONCERNING THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM.

SUMMARY:

This bill makes a number of unrelated changes to criminal justice statutes, including:

- 1. altering the forfeiture procedures for property connected to criminal offenses other than certain drug crimes and allowing forfeiture of proceeds of these crimes;
- 2. expanding forfeiture provisions for sexual exploitation and human trafficking crimes to cover more property;
- 3. giving probation officers serving violation of probation warrants the same responsibilities as police officers when arresting someone under a warrant, including allowing them to release someone arrested on a violation of probation warrant;
- 4. making a minor change to the crime of 1st degree harassment;
- 5. allowing blood alcohol content (BAC) tests taken more the two hours after a person was operating a motor vehicle to be admissible in driving under the influence (DUI) prosecutions and administrative per se hearings regarding DUI if evidence shows their analysis accurately indicates a person's BAC at the time of the alleged offense;
- 6. makes a minor change regarding admissibility of BAC tests in boating under the influence cases;
- 7. increasing the penalty for fraudulent use of an ATM;

8. doubling the monetary thresholds for the different penalties that apply to issuing bad checks based on the value of the checks issued, thereby reducing the penalty in some cases; and

9. allowing the Eyewitness Identification Task Force to continue until June 30, 2016 for certain purposes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2014, except for the task force provision, which is effective upon passage.

§ 1 — FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY RELATED TO CRIMES

The bill makes a number of changes to the law authorizing forfeiture of property connected to a crime other than most drug crimes, making them similar to procedures for forfeiture of property related to other crimes such as drug crimes and sexual exploitation and human trafficking crimes.

Property Subject to Forfeiture

Current law subjects to forfeiture property possessed, controlled, designed, intended for use, or which is, has been, or may be used to commit a crime. The bill also subjects to forfeiture the proceeds of a crime.

Notice

Currently, the judge issuing the warrant or the arraignment court must notify the property owner and anyone with a recorded mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien, or security interest in the property through a summons within 10 days of the seizure. The bill instead:

- 1. allows a prosecutor to petition the court, within 90 days after seizure, for a civil proceeding to forfeit the property;
- 2. requires the court to identify owners and any others who appear to have an interest in the property; and
- 3. requires the state to notify owners and interested parties.

Current law allows a police officer to serve the notice by leaving it

with the person, at his or her usual place of abode, or at the place where the property was seized if the person's address is unknown. The bill instead requires the state to provide notice by certified or registered mail.

The bill eliminates requirements that the notice describe the property with reasonable certainty; state when, where, and why it was seized; and the date and place of the hearing.

Hearing

Current law requires the court to hold a hearing between six and 12 days after serving the notice. The bill instead requires the hearing to be at least two weeks after the notice.

It eliminates a provision making parties of those with an interest who appear at the court hearing. The bill makes the action an in rem action (an action against the property) that is a civil action. As under current law, the state must prove the material facts by clear and convincing evidence.

Disposition of Property

As under current law, the court can determine the property is a nuisance and order it destroyed or disposed of to a charitable or educational institution or a government agency or institution. Property may also be sold at public auction. It cannot be destroyed or disposed of in violation of a mortgage, assignment, lien, or security interest.

Currently, seized money is deposited in the General Fund but remains subject to a bona fide mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien, or security interest. The bill instead requires the money to be distributed as follows: (1) 70% to the law enforcement agency that investigated the crime and seized the funds, for use in the agency's law enforcement activities; (2) 20% to the Criminal Injuries Compensation Fund (which provides compensation and restitution to crime victims); and (3) 10% to the Division of Criminal Justice, for use in prosecutions.

Currently, a seized valuable prize can become the state's property

subject to a mortgage, assignment, lien, or security interest. The bill no longer requires it to become state property and thus allows it to be disposed of to other entities. Current law also allows selling the prize at public auction and depositing proceeds in the General Fund but preserves the rights of those with interests. The bill instead allows its sale according to procedures approved by the administrative services commissioner. The bill requires (1) using sale proceeds to pay any mortgage, assignment of lease or rent, lien, or security interest and (2) distributing any remaining amount in the manner described above for seized money.

The bill makes secondary evidence (evidence about the property rather than the property itself) of property condemned and destroyed under these provisions admissible against the defendant in a prosecution, to the same extent the evidence would have been admissible if the property was not destroyed.

§ 2 — FORFEITURE OF PROPERTY RELATED TO SEXUAL EXPLOITATION AND HUMAN TRAFFICKING

The bill expands the types of property related to sexual exploitation and human trafficking crimes that can be seized and forfeited.

Current law authorizes forfeiture of property (1) derived from the proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, from any sale or exchange for pecuniary gain from these criminal violations and (2) used or intended for use, in any manner or part, to commit or facilitate the violation of those laws for pecuniary gain. The bill no longer requires these actions be connected to pecuniary gain.

By law, other funds and property are subject to forfeiture if they are (1) money used or intended for use in certain crimes or (2) property constituting the proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, from these crimes.

By law, the sexual exploitation and human trafficking forfeiture procedure relates to property connected with the crimes of:

- 1. risk of injury to a minor, involving sale of a child under age 16;
- 2. prostitution and 1st, 2nd, and 3rd degree promoting prostitution;
- 3. enticing a minor using an interactive computer;
- 4. voyeurism, disseminating voyeuristic material, and employing or promoting a minor in an obscene performance;
- human trafficking;
- 6. importing child pornography, and
- 7. commercial sexual exploitation of a minor.

§ 3 — PROBATION

The bill gives a probation officer serving a violation of probation warrant the same responsibilities current law gives a police officer serving most warrants, including violation of probation warrants. Under the bill, the probation officer must:

- 1. advise the subject of the warrant of his or her right to (a) counsel and (b) refuse to make statements and that statements may be introduced as evidence against him or her;
- 2. interview the subject to obtain information relevant to terms and conditions of the subject's release, unless the person waives or refuses the interview, and independently verify information when necessary;
- 3. release the person on a written promise to appear or on posting a bond with conditions set by the officer (conditions may not modify those set by the court), except for those charged with a family violence crime;
- 4. check the National Crime Information Center criminal information database before setting conditions of release; and
- 5. immediately notify a bail commissioner or intake, assessment,

and referral specialist if the person does not post bail.

§ 4 — 1ST DEGREE HARASSMENT

Under the bill, someone who commits 1st degree harassment is deemed to have committed the crime where the harassing communication originated or where it was received. Current law only deems the crime to have been committed in both places when the conduct involves telephone calls, although someone can commit 1st degree harassment through a telephone, telegraph, mail, computer network, or other form of communication. A similar provision already applies to 2nd degree harassment.

By law, 1st degree harassment is a class D felony punishable by up to five years in prison, a fine of up to \$5,000, or both.

§§ 5-10 — BAC TESTS FOR DRIVERS AND BOATERS

Under current law, a BAC test or analysis must be administered to a person within two hours of his or her operating a motor vehicle for the results to be admissible in a criminal prosecution for operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of drugs or alcohol or having an elevated BAC. The two-hour deadline also applies to an administrative per se hearing for operating a motor vehicle under the influence. (By law, drivers implicitly consent to testing and administrative per se license suspension procedures apply to drivers who refuse a test or whose test results indicate an elevated BAC (CGS § 14-227b).)

The bill allows a BAC test taken after the two-hour deadline to be admissible in a prosecution or per se hearing if evidence is presented that the results and analysis accurately indicate the BAC at the time of the alleged offense. By law, the BAC level must be confirmed by a second test taken within 10 minutes of the first test.

For boating under the influence crimes, current law requires expert testimony to establish a BAC test's reliability when the test was given to the person more than two hours after he or she operated the vessel. The bill instead requires evidence demonstrating that the test results and analysis accurately indicate the person's BAC at the time of the

offense.

The bill also makes technical changes.

§ 11 — FRAUDULENT USE OF AN ATM

The bill increases, from a class C to class A misdemeanor, the penalty for fraudulently using an ATM. By law, a person commits this crime when he or she knowingly uses an ATM in a fraudulent way to obtain property, with intent to deprive someone of property or appropriate it to someone.

By law, a class C misdemeanor is punishable by up to three months in prison, a fine of up to \$500, or both. A class A misdemeanor is punishable by up to one year in prison, a fine of up to \$2,000, or both.

§ 12 — ISSUING A BAD CHECK

By law, the penalty for knowingly issuing bad checks depends on the value of the checks issued. The bill doubles the monetary thresholds for the different penalties, as shown in Table 1. Thus, the bill reduces the penalty in some cases. For example, under current law, writing a \$1,500 bad check is a class D felony but under the bill it is a class A misdemeanor.

Amount of Bad Checks Issued Penalty Current Law Under the Bill Class D felony Over \$1,000 Over \$2,000 (up to five years in prison, fine of up to \$5,000, or both) \$500.01 to \$1,000 \$1,000.01 to \$2,000 Class A misdemeanor (up to one year in prison, a fine of up to \$2,000, or both) Class B misdemeanor \$250.01 to \$500 \$500.01 to \$1000 (up to six months in prison, a fine of up to \$1,000, or both) \$250 or less \$500 or less Class C misdemeanor (up to three months in prison, a fine

Table 1: Penalties for Issuing a Bad Check

§ 13 — EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION TASK FORCE EXTENSION

of up to \$500, or both)

The bill allows this task force to continue until June 30, 2016 to (1) collect statistics about eyewitness identification procedures conducted by law enforcement agencies, (2) collect and assist in archiving eyewitness identification procedures used by law enforcement in Connecticut, and (3) consider best practices adopted by agencies in other states. The bill prohibits task force members and advisors from receiving any compensation for their services.

The law previously charged this task force with studying issues concerning eyewitness identification in criminal investigations. The law allowed the task force to continue in existence in order to:

- 1. assist the Police Officer Standards and Training Council (POST) and State Police in developing policies and guidelines for eyewitness identification procedures,
- 2. research and evaluate best practices for these procedures and recommend revisions to POST and the State Police,
- 3. collect statistics on eyewitness identification procedures,
- 4. monitor implementation of the statutory requirements for eyewitness identification procedures, and
- 5. report to the Judiciary Committee on its monitoring activities and any recommended legislative changes by February 5, 2014.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

```
Joint Favorable Substitute
Yea 41 Nay 0 (04/02/2014)
```